1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 15 Cr. 174 (LGS) V. 5 FABIO PORFIRIO LOBO, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 November 9, 2016 5:30 p.m. 9 Before: 10 HON. LORNA G. SCHOFIELD 11 District Judge 12 APPEARANCES 13 PREET BHARARA 14 United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York MATTHEW J. LAROCHE 15 Assistant United States Attorney 16 RETURETA & WASSEM, PLLC 17 Attorneys for Defendant MANUEL J. RETURETA 18 19 Also present: DAVID MINTZ, Spanish Language Interpreter 20 21 22 23 24 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Case called)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your name for the record.

MR. LAROCHE: Good evening, your Honor. Matt Laroche for the government.

THE COURT: Good evening.

MR. RETURETA: Good evening, your Honor. Manuel Retureta on behalf of Mr. Lobo, who is present.

THE COURT: Hello, Mr. Lobo.

I see we have a Spanish interpreter.

Let me know, Mr. Lobo, if you have any trouble understanding the interpreter.

And, Mr. Interpreter, please let me know if you have any trouble understanding or hearing Mr. Lobo.

So, Mr. Laroche, will you just remind me where we are?

MR. LAROCHE: Yes, your Honor. We were supposed to

have a sentencing scheduled in this matter. I think the parties have some factual disagreements that are going to require a Fatico hearing. With respect to that hearing, the government thinks it will take about three days.

THE COURT: I saw that, and I couldn't believe it.

MR. LAROCHE: The reason for the length is we plan to call at least one cooperating witness, and we expect his testimony to take at least a day. There is also a significant amount of recordings, both audio and video recordings, that we

would want to introduce into evidence. I would say we would do
our best to get it done in two days. I am just trying to be
conservative with the time.

THE COURT: You might as well go to trial at that point, although I know we already have a guilty plea.

So what is the factual issue as it impacts sentencing?

MR. LAROCHE: I think it's the extent of his
involvement. There's a couple of issues. One is the quantity
of drugs. The government is submitting that the quantity was
over 450 kilograms, which puts the base offense level at, I
believe, 38. There is also several enhancements that the
government thinks are appropriate.

THE COURT: Let's talk about these things one at a time.

So quantity of drugs, I would think -- and if you could just step that way a little bit, that way I can see Mr. Retureta also -- would not be something that would be in dispute. So what is the dispute?

MR. LAROCHE: I will defer to Mr. Retureta.

THE COURT: I will hear him.

MR. RETURETA: Your Honor, the dispute deals with how far we carry my client's conduct with the large-scale operation that he was connected to. The large-scale operation is an organization known as Los Chicheros.

THE COURT: Can you speak right into the mic?

MR. RETURETA: The large-scale operation is known as Los Chicheros and there are ties, recordings, videos with my client with them. It's the government's position that my client is responsible for a large portion of what they were doing. Our position is that he has pled guilty to specific acts with them and should not be held responsible for the larger portion of the Los Chicheros enterprise, which was vast in time, scope and quantity.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. RETURETA: I think that kind of spreads to some of the other issues in dispute, such as the firearms.

THE COURT: I understand.

I don't specifically recall the guilty plea, but I presume it was very narrowly tailored and that the allocution was narrowly tailored.

MR. RETURETA: It was, your Honor.

THE COURT: And that it met all of the requirements of the charge.

So I guess my question for the government is, how can you essentially expand the scope of that, or are you not trying to do that?

MR. LAROCHE: We are not trying to expand the scope of it. I think Mr. Lobo pled guilty, his allocution was sufficient. He didn't have to allocute at that time to the extent of the conspiracy or his involvement. That's an issue

that is readily decided at a Fatico hearing.
THE COURT: So the enhancements that are at issue are

what?

MR. RETURETA: Your Honor, we are dealing with leader, gun, direct importation, and quantity.

Leader role in the offense.

THE COURT: I understand.

So the idea is there would be one live witness and then several recordings. What kind of recordings are we talking about?

MR. LAROCHE: There's audio recordings of both telephone calls. There's recordings of meetings. And there is a video recording of a specific meeting that is particularly important.

THE COURT: Do you have transcripts of the recordings?

MR. LAROCHE: Yes, we have, and we have provided them
in discovery. They are all in Spanish recordings.

THE COURT: So it seems to me -- well, let me ask Mr. Retureta.

Obviously, you will want to cross-examine the cooperator. Is there any reason not to have the testimony of the cooperator, and then I don't understand Spanish, so having me listen to the tapes would not be useful at all. I will obviously rely on the transcripts. So if we have the cooperator, cross-examination of the cooperator, and then you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

submit to me the transcripts, is there any reason we can't do it that way so that we don't just burn a lot of time listening to tapes that I can't understand?

MR. RETURETA: If I understand the Court correctly, have the cooperator come in and testify as to what was happening and just have the audios as transcripts?

THE COURT: Right.

MR. RETURETA: That's fine.

THE COURT: So they would be marked and put in evidence. Then I would read them and consider the testimony and then make a ruling.

MR. RETURETA: We are not here to dispute the recording, the quality of the recording, what was said. So, yes.

THE COURT: Just what is made of it. I understand.

Mr. Laroche, any reason we can't do that?

MR. LAROCHE: I think that's a fine plan, your Honor.

I just want to note, to be clear, we are considering calling one of the agents that was involved in the case, who has information relevant to the specific arrest that we think the Court might want to consider. So there might actually be more than one witness.

With respect to some of the recordings, I think that's a fine plan. We would want to review it and make sure there is nothing we want to present specifically, because some of it

might be in context. So if we are reviewing a recording with the cooperating witness, we would want to play it with him here.

THE COURT: But I still don't want to hear it.

MR. LAROCHE: Understood.

THE COURT: You can have the cooperating witness say, transcript marked as Government Exhibit 1 happened in these circumstances. I would listen to that testimony and then I would just take the transcript with me.

MR. LAROCHE: That's fine. We can provide that to the Court.

THE COURT: So what I am going to do is I am going to plan for two days. So now the question is when do we spend those two days?

MR. RETURETA: If I may suggest?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. RETURETA: Happening right now, before Judge Crotty, is a case involving two Venezuelan nationals that were participating in an alleged conspiracy involving the Chicheros in Honduras as well as other Honduran officials. That case is producing testimony right now from one of the case agents in our case, the cooperator in our case, and we anticipate the defendants in Chicheros in our case.

So as the Court determines a time frame for this, we have a couple of factors that I would ask the Court to take

into consideration. That is, one, that we would like to have the transcript of that testimony to be able to review because it does impact the conduct and operation of that organization in Honduras.

THE COURT: So the idea is you may submit some portion of that transcript on your case, so to speak, and you would highlight it or direct my attention to it.

Go ahead.

MR. RETURETA: The other component is that we have an obligation to try and make one more effort as to safety valve, and we would like to squeeze in another meeting with government counsel to try and see if that can be accomplished.

THE COURT: When will the transcript be available?

MR. RETURETA: I left Judge Crotty's courtroom this afternoon. One of the cooperators was testifying. They anticipate that the trial will take just short of Thanksgiving. So I anticipate with the holidays, I hope by the end of the year we can get the transcripts.

THE COURT: Would it really take that long? I don't really know. I am involved in a long civil case where they are ordering daily copy.

MR. LAROCHE: The government will provide copies, and I think we will be able to provide those shortly after Thanksgiving.

THE COURT: So early December.

1 MR. LAROCHE: That's right. THE COURT: What about the safety valve meeting? 2 3 MR. RETURETA: If we can pull it off before 4 Thanksgiving or shortly after Thanksgiving. 5 MR. LAROCHE: That's fine. We will work with defense counsel and do that as soon as we can. 6 7 THE COURT: So I am looking at December 20-21. That way we get it done before the holidays; we get it done before 8 9 January. 10 MR. RETURETA: I am bound by a higher authority, my 11 wife, a family vacation. 12 THE COURT: When are you gone? 13 MR. RETURETA: We are leaving the Saturday. 14 THE COURT: The 17th? 15 MR. RETURETA: Yes. Through the Christmas holiday. THE COURT: So you're basically leaving from the 17th 16 17 through the end of the month? MR. RETURETA: Actually, family is divided between 18 19 Florida and New York. We are back here after the Christmas 20 holiday. 21 THE COURT: You mean the week between Christmas and 22 New Year's. We are not around then. 23 Well, then it's problematic. So bear with me just a 24 minute.

How about January 18-19, or actually 17-18.

25

MR. LAROCHE: I am scheduled for a trial that starts 1 2 that week. 3 THE COURT: How likely is it to go? 4 MR. LAROCHE: It is pretty likely at this point to go. 5 I don't know if the Court is available earlier in the month. THE COURT: I am in trial. I am in trial the week 6 7 I am in trial the week after. before. 8 MR. LAROCHE: Perhaps sometime in late February, if 9 that works for the Court. 10 THE COURT: It's November now. 11 MR. LAROCHE: Obviously, the government, if the Court 12 wants to schedule it on that date, we will make sure we have 13 someone available to do it. There are other AUSAs on the case. 14 THE COURT: Let's do that then. Just because it seems 15 irresponsible to wait on the sentencing until February, and particularly -- well, I quess it doesn't really matter. Well, 16 17 I don't know. You tell me. The defendant is incarcerated. 18 presume that the guidelines one way or the other mean a lot of 19 time, and therefore it's not terribly --20 MR. RETURETA: I am not in a position to tell the 21 judge the difference between January and February. 22 THE COURT: What I am trying to figure out, let's say there were no enhancements. What is the guidelines 23 recommendation with no enhancements? Your best case is what? 24

MR. RETURETA: Level 38.

25

THE COURT: So level 35, criminal history category of? 1 MR. RETURETA: 2 Τ. 3 THE COURT: Is going to be more than one month making 4 a difference. So I could also just say let's not torture us all and make it February, as a practical matter. 5 6 MR. RETURETA: It's fine with the defense, because of 7 this other case that's happening and what is being produced out of that case. I would like to have more time than less with 8 9 that. So that's fine with us. 10 THE COURT: So now we are looking at February. 11 Mr. Laroche, what are your commitments in February? 12 MR. LAROCHE: Later in the month would be better. I 13 have another trial on February 6 that should last two weeks 14 that I give less of a chance to go, but as of right now it's 15 still going. THE COURT: Why don't we pick two sets of dates, 16 17 because I don't have trials scheduled in February at the moment. So let's pick the 7th and 8th. And if that doesn't 18 work, the 28th and the 1st. 19 20 I will also just warn you that I generally have 21

I will also just warn you that I generally have conferences in the morning so we would probably start late morning, have a latish lunch, and then just keep going through the day. Those would be the hours.

MR. LAROCHE: Understood.

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: What I will do is I will issue a

GB98LOBC scheduling order just so we have this written down somewhere. It will give alternative dates, and it's understood that if your trial goes forward, then we will just move this. MR. LAROCHE: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. Have a good evening. Thank you for accommodating my schedule. (Adjourned)